

# GSIM v1.0 discussion forum

Thank you for your comments. The feedback on GSIM closed on 27 September. You can follow the progress of the discussions on this page. Comments in addition to those below can be sent by e-mail to [therese.lalor@unece.org](mailto:therese.lalor@unece.org)

## Log (to be updated by Thérèse Lalor only)

Topic	Background	Object/ Issue	Raised by	Last comment	Status	Outcome
Data Structure Component	#34	Simplifying data structure component objects	Thérèse Lalor	29 Oct	VOTING ON OPTIONS	Revised option added by ABS - for decision. Need to have discussion at #7 first.
Reference Metadata	#17C	Reference Metadata	Thérèse Lalor	29 Oct	LOW PRIORITY - WORK REQUIRED	Can we do an example like we have an example for quality?  Chris says he could have an example from Eurostat - Metadata Structure Definition.
<b>To be discussed at Sprint</b>						
Documentation	#25	GSIMv2 documentation	Thérèse Lalor	13 Sept	WAITING	Vote on which GSIM documents you use the most to help us understand what it useful
Views of GSIM	#15	Creating views of GSIM	Gareth McGuinness	18 Jul	WAITING	
Modelling	#14	Relationships and Attributes	Jenny Linnerud	---	WAITING	<b>Action:</b> All relationships and attributes need to be reviewed and made explicit at Sprint
Modelling	#22	Objects to be added/removed	Thérèse Lalor	---	WAITING	
Modelling	#33	Definitions	Thérèse Lalor	---	WAITING	<b>Action:</b> All definitions need to be reviewed at Sprint
<b>Changes to be implemented at Sprint</b>						

Instrument Implementation	#35	Remove all object below Instrument Implementation	Dick Woensdregt	---	<b>WAITING</b>	<p>Following agreements made:</p> <p>** have to make changes with a good business case**</p> <p>** not going to leave it as it is **</p> <p>**balance of simple to understand and not leading down the wrong path **</p> <p>--&gt; Go to Sprint for elaboration</p>
Acquisition Activites	#37	Review to definition of a number of acquisition related objects	Dick Woensdregt	11 Oct	<b>WAITING</b>	<p>What is the outcome here? Is it a change or an acknowledgement?</p> <p>depends on result of decision at #35</p>
Interviewer Instruction	#27	Rename Interviewer Instruction	Helen Toole	2 Oct	<b>WAITING</b>	<p>Arofan has provided input. For discussion - depends on result of decision at #35</p>
Questions	#30	Question and Multiple Question Item	Helen Toole	2 Oct	<b>WAITING</b>	<p>Input from Arofan and Chris given. Need a resolution based on that advice</p> <p>depends on result of decision at #35</p>
Data Channel	#36	Channel Activity Specification, Channel Design Specification? Relationship to Data Resource	Dick Woensdregt	29 Oct	<b>DECIDED</b>	<p>Objects related to acquisition decided</p>
Quality	#17A	Quality	Thérèse Lalor	2 Oct	<b>DECIDED</b>	<p>new text attached to wiki. waiting for picture from Helen</p>
Attribute Component	#31	Attribute Component	Jenny Linnerud	2 Oct	<b>DECIDED</b>	<p>Jenny has provided an improved definition.</p> <p>Related to issue #31 and #7</p>

Data Set	#7	unit data dimensional data	Thérèse Lalor	11 Oct	<b>DECIDED</b>	We agree to the tenet of it and exact changes - in terms of relationships and definitions - to be worked out at sprint. We also need to tighten up all the definitions of dataset related objects.
Statistical Activity	#28	What is the difference between Statistical Activity and Process Step Execution Record	Dick Woensdregt	18 Sept	<b>DECIDED</b>	Action: Definition to be improved. we could look into the relationships between these objects. This deserves some attention/discussion.
Process Step	#29	What does a CSPA Service map to?	Dick Woensdregt	4 Oct	<b>DECIDED</b>	GSIM should add a mention of Statistical Service either to the description or examples of a Business Service  Review text at Sprint to ensure alignment
Methodology	#17B	Methodology	Thérèse Lalor	5 Sept	<b>DECIDED</b>	no changes to be made
Data set	#24	Distinguishing between different types of datasets	Jenny Linnerud	16 Aug	<b>DECIDED</b>	Are we ready to resolve this? Is this something for GSIM version after this one?  Categorized as future work. Is this something other groups could solve? eg Data without Boundaries or Stats Network
Instrument	#32	Instrument and Instrument Implementation definitions	Helen Toole	2 Oct	<b>DECIDED</b>	Action: fix the table....  Action: Change definition. Better explanatory text around this.  Action: Rename instrument implementation to represented instrument. It has the advantage of linguistic consistency

Non Structured Dataset	#6	Non Structured Data Set	Thérèse Lalor	2 Oct	DECIDED	Action: Remove and make small text explanation that we may need this in the future
Node Sets	#12	Hierarchy in Classifications and codelists	Helen Toole	06 Aug	DECIDED	Action: In the documentation we need to have a good example of what is a classification and what is a codelist (drawn from the the comments at the top of the thread).
Methodology	#3	Design Context	Jenny Linnerud	16 Jul	DECIDED	Action: We are not making changes to the methodology for this version. We should remove this item.
Index/Index Entry	#26	Index/Index Entry	Thérèse Lalor	13 Sept	DECIDED	
Population	#1	Add unit type object	Alistair Hamilton	01 Jul	DECIDED	A new object will be added called Unit Type  <b>Action:</b> Definition, relationships and attributed need to be drafted
	#13	Survey and Frame Populations	Jenny Linnerud	27 Aug	DECIDED	All subtypes of population will be removed  All subtypes of Unit will be remove
	#16	Add relationship to unit type	Helen Toole	4 Jun	DECIDED	<b>Action:</b> Relationship to Logical Record to be added
Node Sets	#2	Code vs Code List  Category vs Category Set	Klas Blomqvist	13 Aug	DECIDED	Provide clearer explanation of the differences  Code is kept in the model with better explanation  <b>Action:</b> Text needs to be drafted from examples in discussion forum

#8	Level	Helen Toole	26 Mar	DECIDED	<p>Change the diagrams to include Level in the next release.</p> <p><b>Action:</b> New diagrams to be created</p>
#11	Statistical Classification Cardinality	Helen Toole	22 Apr	DECIDED	<p>Classification Scheme (Statistical Classification) has Mandatory Level</p> <p><b>Action:</b> Change the definition of (Statistical Classification) such that it must contain at least one Level.</p> <p>(Statistical Classification) 1..* Level (Mandatory, Many)</p> <p>Fixed in Neuchatel changes</p>
#21	Alignment with Neuchatel	Thérèse Lalor	13 Aug	DECIDED	<p>Change according to proposal</p> <p><b>Action:</b></p> <p>Remove Classification Version from model</p> <p>Remove Classification Variant from model</p> <p>Change Classification to Classification Series</p> <p>Change Classification Scheme to Statistical Classification</p> <p>Revise relationships according to proposal</p> <p>Add attributes according to proposal</p> <p>Revise definitions</p>

Business Service	#4	Business Service Dissemination Service	Thérèse Lalor	09 Apr	DECIDED	Dissemination Service to be a subtype of Business Service  <b>Action:</b> Text needs to be drafted and attributes aligned
Data Resource	#5	States of data	Jenny Linnerud	31 Jul	DECIDED	Information about how states of data "could be done" should go into the next version of the User Guide, rather than trying to fit into the model  Input to be given by countries using states of data may be done.  <b>Action:</b> Text needs to be drafted
Data Channel	#9	Data Channel	Thérèse Lalor	03 Jul	DECIDED	Data Channel stays in model  <b>Action:</b> Review definition of Data Channel
Representation	#10	Representation	Thérèse Lalor	09 Apr	DECIDED	Change name of Representation to Presentation  <b>Action:</b> Name changed in documentation and model
Data Point	#18	Data Point	Helen Toole	16 Jul	DECIDED	Example in glossary to be fixed (Proposal 1)  <b>Action:</b> Fix Example
Process Output	#19	Add Process Output subtype	Gareth McGuinness	27 Aug	DECIDED	Remove all subtypes of Process Input  Remove all subtypes of Process Output
Assessment	#20	Assessment	Gareth McGuinness	16 Jul	DECIDED	Definition to be improved  <b>Action:</b> Fix definition

Data Provider	#23	Data Provider	Jenny Linnerud	13 Aug	<b>DECIDED</b>	No change to model required. Although perhaps clarification in text would help future users?  <b>Action:</b> clarification in text would help future users?
---------------	-----	---------------	----------------	--------	----------------	---

Search this discussion forum